

## National Link Coalition

Working together to stop violence against people and animals

## Pets in Protection Orders by State

as of: March 8, 2015

Note: The law is constantly changing. Please independently confirm the data you find here.

Compiled by: Phil Arkow <u>arkowpets@snip.net</u> 856-627-5118 Coordinator, National Link Coalition Link Consultant, ASPCA and Animals & Society Institute Chair, Animal Abuse & Family Violence Prevention Project, The Latham Foundation

## SECTION I: PET PROTECTION ORDER LAWS ENACTED

State	Statute Enacted	Bills Pending	Summary	Enacted Language ( <u>underline</u> = new material
				added to existing statutes)
Arizona	A.R.S. 13-3601 & 13-3602		Allows judges to include pets in domestic violence protection orders; amends the definition of domestic violence to include intentionally or knowingly subjecting an animal to cruel mistreatment, neglect or abandonment resulting in serious physical injury.	<ul> <li>13-3601: Domestic violence means intentionally or knowingly subjecting an animal under the person's custody or control to cruel neglect or abandonment that results in serious physical injury to the animal, or intentionally or knowingly subjects any animal to cruel mistreatment.</li> <li>13-3602: Court may grant petitioner exclusive care, custody or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by the petitioner, respondent, or minor child in either household, and order respondent to stay away from the</li> </ul>
				animal and forbid respondent from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, committing an act of cruelty or neglect, or disposing of the animal.
Arkansas	Ark. Code 9-15-205		Upon a finding of domestic abuse at a hearing, the court may direct the care, control or custody of any pet in the household	The court may provide the following relief: direct the care, custody or control of any pet owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by either party residing in the household.

California	Sec. 6320, Family Code	petitioner custody, o possessed, petitioner residing in responden	the court to order that the be granted the exclusive care, r control of any animal owned, leased, kept, or held by either the or respondent or a minor child either's household; and to order the to stay away from the animal or spose of the animal.	Authorizes the court to order, on a showing of good cause, that the petitioner be granted the exclusive care, custody, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either the petitioner or respondent or a minor child residing in either's household; and to order the respondent to stay away from the animal and forbid the respondent from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, molesting, attacking, striking, threatening, harming, or otherwise disposing of the animal.
Colorado	C.R.S. 18-6-800.3 – 803.5 C.R.S. 13-14-101 103	animals in Defines do elderly or acts of vio or disposi	the definition of property to include the context of protective orders mestic abuse and abuse of an at-risk adult as including threats or lence against an animal, or harming g of it, when intended to coerce, nish, intimidate, or exact revenge person.	"Domestic violence" means an act or threatened act of violence upon a person with whom the actor is or has been involved in an intimate relationship. "Domestic violence" also includes any other crime against a person, or against property, <u>including an animal</u> , or any municipal ordinance violation against a person, or against property, <u>including an</u> <u>animal</u> , when used as a method of coercion, control, punishment, intimidation, or revenge directed against a person with whom the actor is or has been involved in an intimate relationship. A person commits the crime of violation of a protection order if, after the person has been personally served with a protection order that identifies the person as a restrained person or otherwise has acquired from the court actual knowledge of the contents of a protection order that identifies the person as a restrained person: (a) Contacts, harasses, injures, intimidates, molests, threatens, or touches the protected person <u>or protected property, including an animal</u> , identified in the protection order or enters or remains on premises or comes within a specified distance of the protected person, <u>protected</u> <u>property, including an animal</u> , or premises or violates any other provision of the protection order to protect the protected person from imminent danger to life or health, and such conduct is prohibited by the protection order;
Connecticut	G.S.C. 46b-15(b) and 46(b)-38(c) and 54-1(k)	that protect applicant i	court to issue a protection order ts any animal owned or kept by the ncluding an order enjoining the from injuring or threatening to animal	Such order may include temporary child custody or visitation rights and such relief may include but is not limited to an order enjoining the respondent from (1) imposing any restraint upon the person or liberty of the applicant; (2) threatening, harassing, assaulting, molesting,

				sexually assaulting or attacking the applicant; or (3) entering the family dwelling or the dwelling of the applicant. <u>The</u> <u>court</u> , in its discretion, may make such orders as it deems <u>appropriate</u> for the protection of any animal owned or kept by the applicant including, but not limited to, an order <u>enjoining the respondent from injuring or threatening to</u> <u>injure such animal.</u> <u>A protective order issued under this section may include</u> provisions necessary to protect any animal owned or kept by the victim including, but not limited to, an order enjoining the defendant from injuring or threatening to injure such animal.
Hawaii	H.R.S. 586-4	respondent or ti taking, conceal physically abus any animal iden to the househol	o enjoin or restrain either the ne protected person from ng, removing, threatening, ing, or otherwise disposing of tified to the court as belonging d until further court order.	The ex parte temporary restraining order may also enjoin or restrain both of the parties from taking, concealing, removing, threatening, physically abusing, or otherwise disposing of any animal identified to the court as belonging to a household, until further order of the court.
Illinois	725 ILCS 5/112A-14	order exclusive	rt to include in the protection care, custody or control of lering the respondent to stay unimal.	Remedies and standards. The remedies listed in this subsection shall be in addition to other civil or criminal remedies available to petitioner. (11.5) Protection of animals. Grant the petitioner the exclusive care, custody, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either the petitioner or the respondent or a minor child residing in the residence or household of either the petitioner or the respondent and order the respondent to stay away from the animal and forbid the respondent from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, harming, or otherwise disposing of the animal.
Iowa	I.C. 236.3(1), 236.4(3A) and 236.5(1b)	companion anii respondent, or may be affected temporary or po held primarily of	o include any "pet or nal" of the petitioner, ninor child "whose welfare by the controversy" in ermanent orders. Livestock or solely for commercial ecifically excluded.	Allows judges, when issuing temporary or permanent orders, to include any "pet or companion animal" of the petitioner, respondent, or minor child "whose welfare may be affected by the controversy." Courts may prohibit the respondent from approaching, taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, molesting, attacking, striking, threatening, harming, or otherwise disposing of the pet or companion animal." Provisions shall not apply to livestock held solely or primarily for commercial purposes.

Louisiana	R.S. 46:2135(A)(7) and Children's Code Art. 1569(A)(7)	Amends statutes and Children's Code to allow judges to include petitioner's or children's pets in protective orders, grant petitioner exclusive control of pets, and enjoin defendant from harming them	Grants to the petitioner the exclusive care, possession, or control of any pets belonging to or under the care of the petitioner or minor children residing in the residence or household of either party, and directs the defendant from harassing, interfering with, abusing or injuring any pet, without legal justification, known to be owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either party or a minor child residing in the residence or household of either party.
Maine	19-A MRSA §4007(1) and §4011 (2)	Authorizes courts to issue orders of protection to safeguard the well-being of animals of either party or minor children. Violation is treated as contempt.	Protection order; consent agreement. The court, after a hearing and upon finding that the defendant has committed the alleged abuse, may grant a protective order or, upon making that finding, approve a consent agreement to bring about a cessation of abuse. This subsection does not preclude the parties from voluntarily requesting a consent agreement without a finding of abuse. The court may enter a finding that the defendant represents a credible threat to the physical safety of the plaintiff or a minor child residing in the plaintiff's household. Relief granted under this section may include: N. Directing the care, custody or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by either party or a minor child residing in the household When the only provision that is violated concerns relief authorized under section 4007, subsection 1, paragraphs H to N, the violation must be treated as contempt and punished in accordance with law.
	19-A MRSA §4006 (5-a) and §4007 (1-E1)	 Extends domestic violence pet protection orders to include temporary protection orders as well as permanent ones.	
Maryland	Ann. Code of Md. 4—501, 4—504.1, 4—505, & 4—506.	Authorizes District Court Commissioners, in an interim protective order, or judges, in a temporary or final protective order, to award temporary possession of a pet belonging to either the respondent or the person eligible for relief.	Authorizes District Court Commissioners, in an interim protective order, or judges, in a temporary or final protective order, to award temporary possession of a pet belonging to either the respondent or the person eligible for relief.

Massachusetts	SECTION 49. Chapter	Allows courts to order defendants to refrain	SECTION 49. Chapter 209A of the General Laws is hereby
	209A of the General	from abusing, threatening, taking, interfering	amended by adding the following section:-
	Laws	with, transferring, encumbering, concealing,	
		harming or otherwise disposing of any	Section 11. (a) Whenever the court issues a temporary or
		"domesticated animals" in the household. The	permanent vacate, stay away, restraining or no contact order
		procedure can apply in any temporary or	or a judgment under section 18, 34B or 34C of chapter 208;
		permanent vacate, stay-away, restraining or	section 32 of chapter 209; section 3, 4 or 5 of this chapter;
		no-contact order in any domestic relations,	section 15 or 20 of chapter 209C; or section 3, 4, 5, 6 or 7 of
		child custody, domestic abuse or abuse-	chapter 258E; or a temporary restraining order or
		prevention proceeding. Also requires courts to	preliminary or permanent injunction relative to a domestic
		notify law enforcement agencies, and for those	relations, child custody, domestic abuse or abuse prevention
		agencies to take necessary actions, when a	proceeding, the court may order the possession, care and
		warrant has been issued for violation of the	control of any domesticated animal owned, possessed,
		protection order when the court believes an	leased, kept or held by either party or a minor child residing
		"imminent threat of bodily injury" exists to	in the household to the plaintiff or petitioner. The court may
		any person or domesticated animal involved in	order the defendant to refrain from abusing, threatening,
		the proceedings.	taking, interfering with, transferring, encumbering,
			concealing, harming or otherwise disposing of such animal.
			(c) Whenever the court issues a warrant for a violation of a
			temporary or permanent vacate, stay away, restraining or no
			contact order or of a judgment issued under section 18, 34B
			or 34C of chapter 208; section 32 of chapter 209; section 3,
			4 or 5 of this chapter; section 15 or 20 of chapter 209C; or
			section 3, 4, 5, 6 or 7 of chapter 258E, or otherwise becomes
			aware that an outstanding warrant for such a violation has
			been issued against a person before the court, the judge may
			make a finding, based upon the totality of the circumstances,
			as to whether there exists an imminent threat of bodily
			injury to any party to such judgment or the petitioner of any
			such protective order, a member of the petitioner's family or
			household or to a domesticated animal belonging to such
			petitioner or to a member of the petitioner's family or
			household. If the court makes a finding that such an
			imminent threat of bodily injury to a person or domesticated
			animal exists, the court shall notify the appropriate law
			enforcement officials of such finding and such law
			enforcement officials shall take all necessary actions to
			execute any such outstanding warrant as soon as is
			practicable.

Minnesota	Minn. Stat. 518B.01 subd. 6 (14) & (15)		Court may direct the care, possession, or control of a pet or companion animal owned, possessed, or kept by the petitioner, respondent or a child; and direct the respondent to refrain from physically abusing or injuring any pet or companion animal without legal justification, known to be owned, possessed, kept or held by either party or a minor child as "an indirect means of intentionally threatening the safety of such person."	The court may direct the care, possession, or control of a pet or companion animal owned, possessed, or kept by the petitioner, respondent or a child; and direct the respondent to refrain from physically abusing or injuring any pet or companion animal without legal justification, known to be owned, possessed, kept or held by either party or a minor child as an indirect means of intentionally threatening the safety of such person.
Nevada	NRS 33.018 and 33.030		Expands the unlawful acts which constitute domestic violence to include knowingly, purposefully or recklessly injuring or killing an animal with the intent to harass the victim. The penalty is a misdemeanor. The court may issue a temporary or extended order to prevent the adverse party from harming or taking possession of an animal owned by the victim or a minor child, and may specify arrangements for the care of such animal.	Domestic violence occurs when a person commits one of the following acts against or upon his spouse, former spouse, any other person to whom he is related by blood or marriage, a person with whom he is or was actually residing, a person with whom he has had or is having a dating relationship, a person with whom he has a child in common, the minor child of any of those persons or his minor child: (7) Injuring or killing an animal. The court by a temporary order may: (e) Enjoin the adverse party from physically injuring, threatening to injure or taking possession of any animal that is owned or kept by the applicant or minor child, either directly or through an agent; (f) Enjoin the adverse party from physically injuring or threatening to injure any animal that is owned or kept by the adverse party, either directly or through an agent; The court by an extended order may grant any relief enumerated in subsection 1 and: b) Specify arrangements for the possession and care of any animal owned or kept by the adverse party, applicant or minor child;
New Hampshire	R.S.A 173-B:1	HB 1410 (2014)	Includes household and domesticated animals under the domestic violence protection statutes by adding animal cruelty to the list of conducts that constitute a credible threat to the petitioner's safety or which reflect an ongoing pattern of behavior which causes the petitioner to fear for her or his safety or well being. Courts can grant petitioners exclusive care,	Includes household and domesticated animals under the domestic violence protection statutes by adding animal cruelty to the list of conducts that constitute a credible threat to the petitioner's safety or which reflect an ongoing pattern of behavior which causes the petitioner to fear for her or his safety or well being. Courts can grant petitioners exclusive care, custody or control of any animal and order defendants to stay away from any animal.

		custody or control of any animal and order	
		defendants to stay away from any animal.	
New Jersey	P.L. 2011 c.213 C.2C:25-29	Allows court to order a pet protection order in respondent's request for emergency relief, or when defendant has been charged with DV, released on bail or personal recognizance, or convicted. Restraining orders can include animals. When a person has abused or threatened to abuse an animal, there is a presumption that possession of the animal shall be awarded to the non-abusive party.	<ul> <li>Emergency relief or restraining orders may include an order directing the possession of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either party or a minor child residing in the household and providing that the animal shall not be disposed of prior to entry of a final order</li> <li>When a defendant is released from custody before trial on bail or personal recognizance, the court authorizing the release may as a condition of release issue an order prohibiting the defendant from having any contact with the victim including having any contact with any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either party or a minor child residing in the household. In addition, the court may enter an order directing the possession of the animal and providing that the animal shall not be disposed of prior to the disposition of the crime or offense.</li> <li>When a defendant is found guilty of a crime or offense involving domestic violence and a condition of sentence restricts the defendant's ability to have contact with the victim, the victim's friends, co-workers, or relatives, or an animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either party or a minor child residing in the household, the court may require the defendant to receive professional counseling. In addition the court may enter an order directing the possession of an animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either party or a minor child residing in the household, the court may require the defendant to receive professional counseling. In addition the court may enter an order directing the possession of an animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by either party or a minor child residing in the household. Where a person has abused or threatened to abuse such animal, there shall be a presumption that possession of the animal shall be awarded to the nonability poster aparty.</li> </ul>
New York	NY FAM CT § 842	Allows a court to order the respondent to refrain from intentionally injuring or killing, without justification, any companion animal the respondent knows to be owned, possessed leased, kept or held by the petitioner or a minor child residing in the household.	An order of protection under section 841 of this part shall set forth reasonable conditions of behavior to be observed for a period not in excess of two years by the petitioner or respondent or for a period not in excess of five years upon (i) a finding by the court on the record of the existence of aggravating circumstances as defined in paragraph (vii) of subdivision (a) of section 827 of this article; or (ii) a finding by the court on the record that the conduct alleged in the petition is in violation of a valid order of protection. Any

			<ul> <li>finding of aggravating circumstances pursuant to this section shall be stated on the record and upon the order of protection. Any order of protection issued pursuant to this section shall specify if an order of probation is in effect. Any order of protection issued pursuant to this section may require the petitioner or the respondent: (i) 1. to refrain from intentionally injuring or killing, without justification, any companion animal the respondent knows to be owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by the petitioner or a minor child residing in the household.</li> <li>2. "Companion animal", as used in this section, shall have the same meaning as in subdivision 5 of section 350 of the agriculture and markets law.</li> </ul>
North Carolina	S.L. 2009-425	Allows a domestic violence protective order to "Provide for the care, custody, and control of any animal owned, possessed, kept, or held as a pet by either party or minor child residing in the household" and to order a party to refrain from "cruelly treating or abusing an animal owned, possessed, kept, or held as a pet by either party or minor child residing in the household."	
Ohio	R.C. § 2151.34, 2303.201, 2903.213, 2903.214, 2919.26, and 3113.31	Includes companion animals in the home of the petitioner in protection and temporary protection orders.	The court may include within a protection order issued under this section a term requiring that the respondent not remove, damage, hide, harm, or dispose of any companion animal owned or possessed by the person to be protected by the order, and may include within the order a term authorizing the person to be protected by the order to remove a companion animal owned by the person to be protected by the order from the possession of the respondent.
Oklahoma	22 O.S. 2001 60.2(E) & 22 O.S. 2001 1105(B)	Victims of domestic violence, stalking or harassment may request exclusive control, care or possession of animals belonging to them, defendant or minor child, and court may forbid defendant from making contact with, taking, threatening, harming or disposing of animal. In issuing a protective order, the court shall consider, among other histories, whether	To the extent that any of the following information is available to the court, the magistrate, judge or court shall consider, in addition to other circumstances, before determining bond and other conditions of release for the protection of the alleged victimwhether the alleged violent incident involved the abuse of pets. The person seeking a protective order may further request

Oregon	ORS 107.718	the alleged violent incident involved the abus of pets.         Allows judges to order any relief it considers necessary to prevent the neglect and protect the safety of any service or therapy animal, or any animal kept for personal protection or companionship. Animals kept for commercia business, agricultural or economic purposes	owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by either the petitioner, defendant or minor child residing in the residence of the petitioner or defendant. The court may order the defendant from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, molesting, attacking, striking, threatening, harming, or otherwise disposing of the animal.(h) Other relief that the court considers necessary to: (B) Prevent the neglect and protect the safety of any service or therapy animal or any animal kept for personal protection or companionship, but not an animal kept for any
South Carolina	S. C. Code 20-4-60	are excluded. Prohibits harm or harassment to pets owned of kept by the petitioner, respondent or other household members, and allows courts to include pets among other property covered by the order.	<ul> <li>an appropriately numbered item at the end to read:</li> <li>"() prohibit harm or harassment, including a violation of Chapter 1, Title 47, against any pet animal owned, possessed, kept, or held by: <ul> <li>(a) the petitioner;</li> <li>(b) any family or household member designated in the order;</li> <li>(c) the respondent if the petitioner has a demonstrated interest in the pet animal."</li> </ul> </li> <li>Temporary possession of pet animals SECTION 2. Section <u>20-4-60</u>(C)(5) of the 1976 Code is amended to read:</li> <li>"(5) provide for temporary possession of the personal property, including pet animals, of the parties and order assistance from law enforcement officers in removing personal property of the petitioner if the respondent's eviction has not been ordered."</li> </ul>
Tennessee	Tennessee Code 36-3- 601(1) and 36-3-606(a)	Expands the definition of domestic abuse to include physical harm or threatened physical harm to a pet of an adult or minor, and allows security of pets to be provided for in protection orders.	"Abuse" means inflicting, or attempting to inflict, physical injury on an adult or minor by other than accidental means, placing an adult or minor in fear of physical harm, physical restraint, or malicious damage to the personal property of the abused party, inflicting, or attempting to inflict, physical injury on any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by an adult or minor, or placing an adult or minor in fear of physical harm to any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by such adult or minor.

			A protection order granted under this part to protect the petitioner from domestic abuse, stalking or sexual assault may include, but is not limited to: <u>Directing the care, custody, or control of any animal owned,</u> <u>possessed, leased, kept, or held by either party or a minor</u> <u>residing in the household. In no instance shall such animal</u> <u>be placed in the care. custody, or control of the respondent</u> <u>but shall instead be placed in the case, custody, or control of</u> <u>the petitioner or in an appropriate animal foster situation</u>
Texas	Sec. 85.021, Family Code	Allows the court to prohibit the removal, harm, threat, or interference with the care, custody or control of a pet, companion animal or assistance animal possessed by a person or family member protected by a court order.	In a protective order, the court may prohibit a party from: (A) removing a child who is a member of the family or household from: (i) the possession of a person named in the order; or (ii) the jurisdiction of the court; (B) transferring, encumbering, or otherwise disposing of property, other than in the ordinary course of business, that is mutually owned or leased by the parties; <u>or</u> (C) removing a pet, companion animal, or assistance <u>animal</u> , as defined by Section 121.002, Human Resources <u>Code</u> , from the possession of a person named in the order; In a protective order, the court may prohibit the person found to have committed family violence from: <u>harming, threatening, or interfering with the care, custody,</u> <u>or control of a pet, companion animal, or assistance animal,</u> <u>as defined by Section 121.002, Human Resources Code, that</u> <u>is possessed by a person protected by an order or by a</u> <u>member of the family or household of a person protected by</u> <u>an order</u> .
Vermont	15 V.S.A. § 1103	Allows a court to include an order relating to the possession, care and control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held as a pet by either party or a minor child residing in the household in a domestic violence situation.	<ul> <li>(c) If the court finds that the defendant has abused the plaintiff and that there is a danger of further abuse, the court shall make such orders as it deems necessary to protect the plaintiff, the children, or both, which may include the following:</li> <li>(7) an order concerning the possession, care and control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held as a pet by either party or a minor child residing in the household.</li> </ul>

Virginia	§§ 16.1-253, 16.1- 253.1, 16.1-253.4, 16.1- 279.1, 19.2-152.8, 19.2- 152.9, and 19.2-152.10 of the Code of Virginia	Grants the petitioner or the person on whose behalf the order is issued the possession of an companion animal as defined in § 3.2-6500 if such person meets the definition of owner in § 3.2-6500. Provisions apply to preliminary, emergency and regular protective orders and to protective orders in cases of family abuse involving an incarcerated or recently incarcerated respondent. Violations are considered contempt of court.	in § 3.2-6500 if such person meets the definition of owner in § 3.2-6500. Provisions apply to preliminary, emergency and regular protective orders and to protective orders in cases of family abuse involving an incarcerated or recently incarcerated respondent. Violations are considered contempt of court.
Washington	RCW 26.50.060 and 26.50.110 (2009)	Includes pets among petitioner's personal effects; allows judges to grant petitioner exclusive custody or control of pets belonging to petitioner, respondent or minor, and to prohibit the respondent from coming within a specified distance of specified locations where the pet is regularly found; and prohibits acts o violence, harm or interference with these animals. Violation is a gross misdemeanor.	leased, kept, or held by the petitioner, respondent, or minor child residing with either the petitioner or respondent and may prohibit the respondent from interfering with the petitioner's efforts to remove the pet. The court may also prohibit the respondent from knowingly coming within, or knowingly remaining within, a specified distance of specified locations where the pet is regularly found.
West Virginia	WV Code 48-27-503 (2010)	Permits protective orders to include animals o petitioner, respondent or minor child	The terms of a protective order may include: (13) Awarding the petitioner the exclusive care, possession, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept or held by either the petitioner or the respondent or a minor child residing in the residence or household of either the petitioner or the respondent and prohibiting the respondent from taking, concealing, molesting, physically injuring, killing or otherwise disposing of the animal and limiting or precluding contact by the respondent with the animal.
Puerto Rico	Law No. 154 (2008), P.S. 2552	<ul> <li>Protective orders must be given by courts when requested by petitioner in domestic violence or child abuse cases. Orders may also be issued to protect shelters holding animals seized pursuant to abuse charges.</li> <li>Law also increases penalties for animal cruelt committed in front of children, or by offender with previous convictions for domestic violence, child abuse or elder abuse.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>petición de parte, emitir una orden de protección al peticionario para que este sea el único custidio del animal.</li> <li>El Tribunal ordenará al acusado de mantenerse lejos del animal; prohibirle cualquier tipo de acercamiento.</li> </ul>

		Law includes emotional harm within the definition of animal abuse.	
District of Columbia	D.C. Official Code Title 16, Chap. 10, Sec. §16-	If Family Division finds good cause that the respondent has committed or is threatening	D.C. Official Code §16-1005 (c), Ch. 10, Title 16. "(c) If, after hearing, the Family Division finds that there is
	1005 (c)	animal cruelty, it may issue a protection order	good cause to believe the respondent has committed or is
	Enacted Dec. 2008	directing the care, custody or control of an animal in the household.	threatening an intrafamily offense, or animal cruelty, it may issue a protection order : "(10A) Directing the care, custody, or control of a domestic animal in the household.

## SECTION II: PET PROTECTION ORDER BILLS PENDING OR DEAD (AS OF 3/2015)

State	Statute Enacted	Bills Pending	Summary	NOTES
U.S.A.		H.R. 1258 – The PAWS (Pets And	Would expand existing federal domestic violence protections to include pets of	
		Women's Safety)	domestic violence victims. It would prohibit	
		Act	crossing state lines to harm a domestic	
		Act	partner's pet, as well as establish a federal	
			grant program to provide assistance and	
			housing to victims' pets in need of emergency shelter. It amends the Violence Against	
			Women Act's interstate stalking provisions to	
			make crossing state lines to injure pets a	
			punishable offense. It also adds veterinary	
			care to the list of restitution costs that can be	
			recovered by victims, establishes a federal	
			grant program designed to help domestic	
			violence victims safely house their pets, and	
			expresses a recommendation by Congress that	
			states should include pets in protective orders.	
			sales should include pots in protocitie orders.	
Delaware		HB 77 (2009)	Would allow the court to order that the	
		<b>DIED 7/2010</b>	petitioner be given temporary possession of	
			specified personal property solely or jointly	
			owned by respondent or petitioner, including	

Florida	SB288 (2012) DIED	<ul> <li>but not limited to, motor vehicles, checkbooks, keys and other personal effects, and personal property, including pets.</li> <li>Allows court to issue a PPO; 1<sup>st</sup> degree misdemeanor to violate it. It includes acts or threats of physical injury to an animal, or placing a family member of fear of an animal's being harmed, within the state's definition of domestic violence.</li> </ul>	Providing that a court may issue an injunction for protection against domestic violence granting the petitioner the exclusive care, custody, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by the petitioner, the respondent, or a minor child residing in the residence or household of the petitioner or respondent; providing that it is a first-degree misdemeanor for a person to willfully violate an injunction for protection against domestic violence by knowingly and intentionally injuring or threatening to injure any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by the petitioner, the respondent, or a minor child of the petitioner or respondent; providing criminal penalties, etc.
Georgia	HB 493 (2011) DIED	Would amend Code Section 19-13 to provide that acts of family violence shall include inflicting, attempting to inflict, or threatening to inflict unjustified physical injury against a family or household animal. The bill would also allow judges to grant petitioners exclusive care, custody or control of animals and order respondents to refrain from interfering with animals under a protection from abuse order.	
Kentucky	HB 216 (2015) HB 195 (2013) <b>DIED</b>	Would allow the court to direct the care, custody or control of any pet belonging to either party or a minor child in the household.	Would allow courts that determine that a petitioner's allegations indicate the presence of an immediate and present danger of domestic violence and abuse to issue a protection order that directs the care, custody or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept or held as a pet by either party or by a child residing in the home.
Michigan	SB 28 (2015)	Would make it a crime to knowingly kill, torture, mutilate, maim, disfigure, or poison an animal, or threaten to do so, with the intent of causing mental suffering or distress to a person or to exert control over a person.	

r			
	HB 4741 (2008)	Would provide penalties and sentencing	
	DIED	guidelines for injuring, killing, torturing,	
		neglecting, removing or threatening an animal,	
		or interfering with the petitioner's efforts to	
		remove the animal, in the perpetration of	
		domestic violence.	
Nebraska	LB 83 (2010)	Would allow judges to issue protection orders	
	[INDEFIN-	directing the care, custody or control of	
	ITELY	domestic animals kept by either party or a	
	POSTPONED]	minor child in the household, and enjoining	
		the respondent from harming or killing such	
		animals.	
New Mexico	SB 473 (2013)	Defines "domestic abuse" as cruelty to	
	DIED	animals with the intent to intimidate, threaten	
		or harass; allows the court to grant to a party	
		the exclusive possession and control of any	
		animal kept, owned or leased by either party	
		or by a minor child residing in the household	
		of either party. The court may order a party to	
		stay away from the animal and may forbid a	
		party from taking, transferring, concealing,	
		mistreating, harming or disposing of the	
		animal;	
Pennsylvania	SB 32 (2008)	Adds a provision to the cruelty to animals	
	DIED	statute $(5511 - 1.1)$ making it a third-degree	
		felony for a person subject to a protection	
		abuse order to willfully and maliciously kill,	
		maim, disfigure, torture, or poison any	
		domestic animal or domestic fowl that is in the	
		care, control or supervision of a person who	
		benefits from an abuse order.	
Rhode Island	HB 7290	Allow the court to include in a protective	
	DIED IN	order, temporary order or restraining order	
	COMMITTEE	provisions concerning the care, custody or	
		control of companion animals owned,	
		possessed, leased or held by either party or a	
		minor child.	
Wisconsin	SB 162 (2008)	Would expand the definition of domestic	
	DIED IN	abuse to include harm or threat of harm to	
	COMMITTEE	animals of the petitioner, respondent, children,	
		or others in the household.	
I	1		<u> </u>

Wyoming	HB 206 (2009)	Would allow the court to direct the care,
	DIED	custody and control of any animal owned or
		kept by either party or a minor in the
		household. A new provision allows local law
		enforcement officers responding to requests
		for assistance in domestic violence cases to
		provide or arrange for temporary care, custody
		and control of these animals.